


# Thursday 18 November 2021 – Morning GCSE (9–1) History B (Schools History Project)

**J411/41** The Norman Conquest, 1065–1087

Time allowed: 1 hour



# You must have:

• the OCR 12-page Answer Booklet

### **INSTRUCTIONS**

- · Use black ink.
- Write your answer to each question in the Answer Booklet. The question numbers must be clearly shown.
- Fill in the boxes on the front of the Answer Booklet.
- Answer Questions 1 (a-b) and 2, and either Question 3 or Question 4.

### **INFORMATION**

- The total mark for this paper is 50.
- The marks for each question are shown in brackets [ ].
- Spelling, punctuation and grammar (SPaG) and the use of specialist terminology will be assessed in questions marked with a pencil ( ).
- Quality of extended response will be assessed in questions marked with an asterisk (\*).
- This document has 4 pages.

## **ADVICE**

· Read each question carefully before you start your answer.


### The Norman Conquest, 1065–1087

Answer Questions 1 (a-b) and 2.

1 (a) In Interpretation A, the artist portrays the 'Harrying of the North' as a brutal event. Identify and explain one way in which he does this.

[3]

# Interpretation A An image entitled 'The Harrying of the North', published in 1978 in 'Look and Learn', a history magazine for children.



**(b)** If you were asked to do further research on one aspect of **Interpretation A**, what would you choose to investigate?

Explain how this would help us to analyse and understand the establishment of Norman rule between 1067 and 1071. [5]

© OCR 2021 J411/41 Oct21

2 Interpretations B and C both attempt to explain Harold's defeat in 1066. How far do they differ and what might explain any differences?

[12]

( ) Spelling, punctuation and grammar and the use of specialist terminology

[5]

### Interpretation B

An extract from 'The Chronicle of the Kings of England' by William of Malmesbury, published in 1125. The author was the son of a Norman noble. He grew up in the care of the monks of Malmesbury Abbey, in Wiltshire, and became a monk there.

On hearing of the invasion in the North by Hardrada, Harold headed there with all his forces. The English gained the advantage, and made the Norwegians flee. Despite what the Saxon songs say, it was an easy victory which cost Harold little, and had only a tiny effect on the events which would follow.

The greater cause of defeat was Harold himself. After his victory at Stamford Bridge, Harold refused to give any rewards of battle to his followers, and many men deserted as they marched to Hastings. And so he was defeated at Hastings and his army scattered. They say the victory was brought about by a strategy of William's. But the truth is it was brought about by the Lord God. Harold and his men paid the price for betraying his promise to King William.

### Interpretation C

An extract from an article by the historian Teresa Cole in 'BBC History Magazine', published in 2016.

Harold had won a great victory at Stamford Bridge but he suffered heavy losses, particularly among his best troops. Less than a week later he learned that William had landed at Pevensey. Once again the army was summoned to duty. Once again the weary soldiers marched south with the king. Through the long day at Hastings they stood firm against the best that William could throw at them. Only at the very end was their cause lost. In the final analysis it was surely the losses in the north that tipped the balance, shortening their battle line, and thinning their best forces. It was definitely Hardrada and his Viking invaders that in the end cost Harold his crown and his life.

[5]

4

### Answer either Question 3 or Question 4.

- **3\*** The website www.historic-uk.com argues that 'the arrival of the Normans in 1066 led to a new age of castle construction'.
  - How far do you agree with this view of fortifications and castles in England before and after the Norman Conquest? [20]
  - ( ) Spelling, punctuation and grammar and the use of specialist terminology
- 4\* In her 1996 history magazine article 'The Trauma of 1066', historian Elizabeth von Houts argues that the Norman Conquest had 'a catastrophic impact on the English population'. How far do you agree with this view of the impact of the Norman Conquest on English society to 1087?
  [20]
  - ( Spelling, punctuation and grammar and the use of specialist terminology [5]

### **END OF QUESTION PAPER**



#### Copyright Information

OCR is committed to seeking permission to reproduce all third-party content that it uses in its assessment materials. OCR has attempted to identify and contact all copyright holders whose work is used in this paper. To avoid the issue of disclosure of answer-related information to candidates, all copyright acknowledgements are reproduced in the OCR Copyright Acknowledgements Booklet. This is produced for each series of examinations and is freely available to download from our public website (www.ocr.org.uk) after the live examination series.

If OCR has unwittingly failed to correctly acknowledge or clear any third-party content in this assessment material, OCR will be happy to correct its mistake at the earliest possible opportunity.

For queries or further information please contact The OCR Copyright Team, The Triangle Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 8EA.

OCR is part of the Cambridge Assessment Group; Cambridge Assessment is the brand name of University of Cambridge Local Examinations Syndicate (UCLES), which is itself a department of the University of Cambridge.

OCR 2021 J411/41 Oct21